REMARKS BY GOVERNOR VIC ATIYEH PRESS CONFERENCE CAPTIOL BUILDING SALEM, OREGON FEBRUARY 17, 1982

PREPARED TEXT

Never in history has this state's government been confronted with a projected deficit of 337 million dollars.

Never has there been such a severe threat to the state's ability to provide people with promised services.

IT IS EASY TO PINPOINT THE MAJOR CAUSES OF OUR DILEMMA. MANY PEOPLE HAVE LITERALLY TURNED UP THEIR NOSES AT SINCERE EFFORTS TO BROADEN OUR ECONOMIC BASE. I HAVE PROPOSED TO THE LEGISLATURE A STRONG ECONOMIC RECOVERY PROGRAM. BUT EVEN IF IT WERE ADOPTED TODAY, WE WILL NOT SEE OVERNIGHT RESULTS AFTER YEARS OF NEGLECT.

More at fault for our situation is the high Level of Interest rates. Federal deficit spending continues to make a mockery of the hopes of Millions to Buy a home this year. Housing starts - Upon which Oregon is so dependent - Are at a virtual standstill. Tens of thousands of Oregonians suffer BECAUSE OF IT.

OREGON - AND THE NATION - CANNOT STAND ANOTHER RECORD-SETTING FEDERAL DEFICIT. NO MATTER WHO PROPOSES IT, I WILL HAVE NO PART OF SPENDING OURSELVES INTO OBLIVION.

At the start of this Special Session of the Legislature, I thought we knew the size of our financial problem. /I presented a plan for dealing with it. /It was fair in matching the needs of Oregonians with their ability to support those needs.

I DO NOT BELIEVE ANYBODY WAS ELECTED TO TELL THE PEOPLE HOW HARD IT IS TO MAKE A DECISION. I WAS ELECTED TO MAKE THE HARD DECISIONS. AND, IRONICALLY, I HAVE MADE MY OWN HARD DECISIONS HARDER. THIS WILL BE THE FOURTH BUDGET CUT SINCE I TOOK OFFICE AND ANY EASY ANSWERS HAVE BEEN SQUEEZED OUT OF THE BUDGET.

When the deficit was expected to be 240-million-dollars, I proposed a variety of ways of overcoming it. None of that original program has been changed.

I SUGGESTED A 120-MILLION-DOLLAR REDUCTION IN STATE AGENCY SPENDING, A SPEEDUP IN COLLECTION OF WITHHOLDING TAXES, NEW EMPHASIS ON COLLECTING DELINQUENT TAXES, AND AN INCREASE IN TAXES ON BEER AND WINE.

ALL OF THAT IS FAMILIAR TO THE LEGISLATURE. THERE ARE NO NEW COMPLICATIONS. I DO NOT BELIEVE IT SHOULD TAKE THE LEGISLATURE ANY GREAT DEAL OF TIME TO ACT ON THESE IDEAS.

BUT ACCEPTANCE OF THIS PROGRAM WILL NOT MAKE TODAY'S PROBLEM GO AWAY. AND I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT WE CAN GO BEYOND MY RECOMMENDATION FOR REDUCING GOVERNMENT EXPENSES, BECAUSE I KNOW THAT MY BUDGET PUSHED THAT ANSWER TO THE OUTER LIMITS.

SINCE THEN THE PROBLEM HAS BECOME ENORMOUS. / IT STILL MUST BE DEALT WITH, HOWEVER, AND I WILL DEAL WITH IT. / BUT I REQUIRE SOME COOPERATION FROM THE LEGISLATURE THIS TIME. / MY PLAN REACHES OUT IN SEARCH OF THAT COOPERATION.

IT COMES DOWN TO THIS: WE HAVE TO HAVE MORE MONEY.

Some people have suggested that the budget be balanced with cuts in state employee salaries. I have always believed that Oregon government should lead or match solutions to problems we all share — and that is why, since July of 1979, we have 2,400 fewer persons working in state government, with 500 more to come as the result of my budget reductions.

Some Legislators have recommended an increase in income taxes to help meet the deficit. I am now advising them that if they will accept my budget reductions, I will accept their proposal to raise more money - but I am not going to do it exactly their way.

I AM PROPOSING, INSTEAD, REPLACING THE STANDARD PERSONAL INCOME TAX EXEMPTION WITH A TAX CREDIT. EVEN THOUGH IT WILL INCREASE INCOME TAX REVENUE BY 37-MILLION-DOLLARS, THIS WILL NOT FURTHER DISADVANTAGE THE UNEMPLOYED OR THE UNDER-EMPLOYED. LOW-INCOME TAXPAYERS WILL BENEFIT.

More than any other proposal advanced to date,/this program will recover the funds necessary from those most able to provide the additional support government programs deserve.//It is a progressive plan. /It is fair

I AM ALSO RECOMMENDING, AS I HAVE BEFORE, CONSOLIDATING THE STATE'S TWO PROPERTY TAX RELIEF PROGRAMS. I STILL DO NOT THINK WE CAN JUSTIFY TAX RELIEF FOR THOSE WHOSE EARNINGS ARE FAR BEYOND INCOME OF OREGON WORKERS.

Combining the programs, and providing relief only for only those with incomes under \$35,000, will save the state general fund \$35 million in this biennium. And it will put a floor under the bottomless pit into which we have been shoveling money.

STATE PROPERTY TAX RELIEF PAYMENTS SEEM TO HAVE BEEN THE IMPETUS FOR MORE LOCAL PROPERTY TAXATION. / WE HAVE TO STITCH UP THE ARTERY BEFORE EVERYBODY IS BLED TO DEATH.

Besides these major steps to increase and conserve state general funds, I have made some minor refinements in my original plan that — Taken together — Result in a Balanced Budget.

LEGISLATION TO ACCOMPLISH THESE GOALS WILL BE READY FOR INTRODUCTION TOMORROW. THE PROPOSALS TO INCREASE REVENUE FROM THE BEER AND WINE TAX AND THE PERSONAL TAX CREDIT WILL BE FOR ONLY ONE YEAR. THE NEXT REGULAR SESSION OF THE LEGISLATURE WILL HAVE A CLEAN SLATE WITH WHICH TO WORK.

THERE IS NOTHING IN MY PLAN THAT IS DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND. IT IS CLEAR AND IT WILL WORK.

AND SO I AM SUGGESTING TO THE LEGISLATIVE LEADERSHIP
THAT THEY STAY IN SESSION AND ACT ON THIS PLAN.

I AM ASKING THAT LEGISLATORS ACT FAVORABLY, WIND UP THE PEOPLE'S BUSINESS, AND CALL IT A DAY.

#